

**Correction to the article “Colorectal Screening Program in Northern Portugal: First Findings”, Published on Acta Med Port 2022 Mar;35(3):164-169.**

**Errata ao artigo “Programa de Rastreio de Cancro Colo-Rectal no Norte de Portugal: Primeiros Resultados”, Publicado em Acta Med Port 2022 Mar;35(3):164-169.**

**On page 168, Table 2, where it reads (in red):**

Table 2 – First year results: main results

	Overall 1 <sup>st</sup> year	Centers				
		1	2	3	4	5
Invitees [n (%)]	95 273	17 086	21 855	13 472	22 031	20 829
Primary care centers/units (n)	76	16	15	12	19	14
Adherence to FIT [n (%)]	27 779 (29.0)	4 176 (24.0)	6 035 (28.0)	4 859 (36.0)	7 309 (33.0)	5 400 (26.0)
Positivity rate [n (%)]	1 343 (4.8)	214 (5.1)	282 (4.7)	251 (5.2)	352 (4.8)	244 (4.5)
Colonoscopies [n (%)]	807 (60.0)	186 (87.0)	165 (58.0)	139 (55.0)	175 (50.0)	142 (69.0)
Cecal intubation [n (%)]	753 (93.0)	179 (96.0)	162 (98.0)	128 (92.0)	153 (87.0)	131 (92.0)
BBPS < 6 or 0 - 1 [n (%)]	60 (8.0)	17 (9.0)	14 (8.0)	14 (10.0)	6 (3.0)	9 (6.0)
Adverse events (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADR [n (%)]	328 (80.0)	79 (80.0)	74 (77.0)	66 (89.0)	61 (77.0)	48 (74.0)
Advanced phenotype rate [n (%)]	179 (44.0)	57 (58.0)	35 (36.0)	29 (39.0)	24 (30.0)	34 (52.0)
Carcinoma [n (%)]	3 (0.4)				2	1

**It should read (in bold):**

Table 2 – First year results: main results

	Overall 1 <sup>st</sup> year	Centers				
		1	2	3	4	5
Invitees [n (%)]	95 273	17 086	21 855	13 472	22 031	20 829
Primary care centers/units (n)	76	16	15	12	19	14
Adherence to FIT [n (%)]	27 779 (29.0)	4 176 (24.0)	6 035 (28.0)	4 859 (36.0)	7 309 (33.0)	5 400 (26.0)
Positivity rate [n (%)]	1 343 (4.8)	214 (5.1)	282 (4.7)	251 (5.2)	352 (4.8)	244 (4.5)
Colonoscopies [n (%)]	807 (60.0)	186 (87.0)	165 (58.0)	139 (55.0)	175 (50.0)	142 (69.0)
Cecal intubation [n (%)]	753 (93.0)	179 (96.0)	162 (98.0)	128 (92.0)	153 (87.0)	131 (92.0)
BBPS < 6 or 0 - 1 [n (%)]	60 (8.0)	17 (9.0)	14 (8.0)	14 (10.0)	6 (3.0)	9 (6.0)
Adverse events (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADR [n (%)]	328 (41.0)	79 (42.0)	74 (45.0)	66 (47.0)	61 (35.0)	48 (34.0)
Advanced phenotype rate [n (%)]	179 (22.0)	57 (30.0)	35 (21.0)	29 (21.0)	24 (14.0)	34 (24.0)
Carcinoma [n (%)]	3 (0.4)				2	1

**On page 168, Table 3, where it reads (in red):**

Table 3 – Comparison with Zorzi M *et al*

	Current report	Zorzi M <i>et al</i>
Invitees (n)	92 573	178 828
Invitation method	Mailing	Mailing
Adherence to FIT (%)	29.0	69.0
Positivity rate (%)	4.5	5.7
Colonoscopies adherence (%)	60.0	91.5
ADR (%)	80.0	-
Advanced adenoma (%)	44.0	15.9
Carcinoma (%)	2.0	3.34

**It should read (in bold):**Table 3 – Comparison with Zorzi M *et al*

	Current report	Zorzi M <i>et al</i>
Invitees (n)	92 573	178 828
Invitation method	Mailing	Mailing
Adherence to FIT (%)	29.0	69.0
Positivity rate (%)	4.5	5.7
Colonoscopies adherence (%)	60.0	91.5
ADR (%)	<b>41.0</b>	-
Advanced adenoma (%)	<b>22.0</b>	15.9
Carcinoma (%)	2.0	3.34

Article published with errors:

<https://www.actamedicaportuguesa.com/revista/index.php/amp/article/view/15904>

**Na página 168, Table 2 onde se lê (a vermelho):**

Table 2 – First year results: main results

	Overall 1 <sup>st</sup> year	Centers				
		1	2	3	4	5
Invitees [n (%)]	95 273	17 086	21 855	13 472	22 031	20 829
Primary care centers/units (n)	76	16	15	12	19	14
Adherence to FIT [n (%)]	27 779 (29.0)	4 176 (24.0)	6 035 (28.0)	4 859 (36.0)	7 309 (33.0)	5 400 (26.0)
Positivity rate [n (%)]	1 343 (4.8)	214 (5.1)	282 (4.7)	251 (5.2)	352 (4.8)	244 (4.5)
Colonoscopies [n (%)]	807 (60.0)	186 (87.0)	165 (58.0)	139 (55.0)	175 (50.0)	142 (69.0)
Cecal intubation [n (%)]	753 (93.0)	179 (96.0)	162 (98.0)	128 (92.0)	153 (87.0)	131 (92.0)
BBPS < 6 or 0 - 1 [n (%)]	60 (8.0)	17 (9.0)	14 (8.0)	14 (10.0)	6 (3.0)	9 (6.0)
Adverse events (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADR [n (%)]	328 (80.0)	79 (80.0)	74 (77.0)	66 (89.0)	61 (77.0)	48 (74.0)
Advanced phenotype rate [n (%)]	179 (44.0)	57 (58.0)	35 (36.0)	29 (39.0)	24 (30.0)	34 (52.0)
Carcinoma [n (%)]	3 (0.4)				2	1

**Deverá ler-se (a negrito):**

Table 2 – First year results: main results

	Overall 1 <sup>st</sup> year	Centers				
		1	2	3	4	5
Invitees [n (%)]	95 273	17 086	21 855	13 472	22 031	20 829
Primary care centers/units (n)	76	16	15	12	19	14
Adherence to FIT [n (%)]	27 779 (29.0)	4 176 (24.0)	6 035 (28.0)	4 859 (36.0)	7 309 (33.0)	5 400 (26.0)
Positivity rate [n (%)]	1 343 (4.8)	214 (5.1)	282 (4.7)	251 (5.2)	352 (4.8)	244 (4.5)
Colonoscopies [n (%)]	807 (60.0)	186 (87.0)	165 (58.0)	139 (55.0)	175 (50.0)	142 (69.0)
Cecal intubation [n (%)]	753 (93.0)	179 (96.0)	162 (98.0)	128 (92.0)	153 (87.0)	131 (92.0)
BBPS < 6 or 0 - 1 [n (%)]	60 (8.0)	17 (9.0)	14 (8.0)	14 (10.0)	6 (3.0)	9 (6.0)
Adverse events (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADR [n (%)]	328 ( <b>41.0</b> )	79 ( <b>42.0</b> )	74 ( <b>45.0</b> )	66 ( <b>47.0</b> )	61 ( <b>35.0</b> )	48 ( <b>34.0</b> )
Advanced phenotype rate [n (%)]	179 ( <b>22.0</b> )	57 ( <b>30.0</b> )	35 ( <b>21.0</b> )	29 ( <b>21.0</b> )	24 ( <b>14.0</b> )	34 ( <b>24.0</b> )
Carcinoma [n (%)]	3 (0.4)				2	1

Na página 168, Table 3, onde se lê (a vermelho):

Table 3 – Comparison with Zorzi M *et al*

	Current report	Zorzi M <i>et al</i>
Invitees (n)	92 573	178 828
Invitation method	Mailing	Mailing
Adherence to FIT (%)	29.0	69.0
Positivity rate (%)	4.5	5.7
Colonoscopies adherence (%)	60.0	91.5
ADR (%)	80.0	-
Advanced adenoma (%)	44.0	15.9
Carcinoma (%)	2.0	3.34

Deverá ler-se (a negrito):

Table 3 – Comparison with Zorzi M *et al*

	Current report	Zorzi M <i>et al</i>
Invitees (n)	92 573	178 828
Invitation method	Mailing	Mailing
Adherence to FIT (%)	29.0	69.0
Positivity rate (%)	4.5	5.7
Colonoscopies adherence (%)	60.0	91.5
ADR (%)	41.0	-
Advanced adenoma (%)	22.0	15.9
Carcinoma (%)	2.0	3.34

Artigo publicado com erros:

<https://www.actamedicaportuguesa.com/revista/index.php/amp/article/view/15904>



<https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.18138>