Appendix 1

Table 1. Search query for each of the databases included

DATABASE	SEARCH QUERY	TOTAL RECORDS
Medline (PubMed)	((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((158
Web of Science	((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((188
Embase	(tobacco smoke pollution or secondhand smoke or environmental tobacco smoke or environment smoking or tobacco products or passive smoking or pregnancy smoking or smoke free law or smoke free legislation or smoke ban or occupational smoking or outdoor smoking).af. and portugal.ab. 1 tobacco smoke pollution.af. 476 2 (tobacco smoke pollution or secondhand smoke).af. 3843 3 (tobacco smoke pollution or secondhand smoke or environmental tobacco smoke or environment smoking or tobacco products or passive smoking).af. 26829 4 (tobacco smoke pollution or secondhand smoke or environmental tobacco smoke or environment smoking or tobacco products or passive smoking or pregnancy smoking or smoke free law or smoke free legislation or smoke ban or occupational smoking or outdoor smoking).af. and portugal.ab. 49	49

Table 2. Modified Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (items)	
SECTION 1: SELECTION OF THE SAMPLE (max 3***)	
Representativeness of the sample	
a) Truly representative of the average in the target population (all subjects or random	
sampling)	*
b) Somewhat representative of the average in the target population (non-random	
sampling)	*
c) Recruitment based on harmonized protocols	*
d) No description of the sampling strategy or recruitment	
Renspondents vs. Non-respondents	
a) Comparability between respondents and non-respondents characteristics is	
established, and the response rate is satisfactory	*
b) The response rate is unsatisfactory, or the comparability between respondents and	
nonrespondents is unsatisfactory	
c) No description of the response rate or the characteristics of the respondents and the	
nonrespondents	
SECTION 2: ASSESSMENT OF THE EXPOSURE (max. 2**)	
Ascertainment of the exposure	
a) Validated measurement tool: biomarker of exposure or face-to-face interview	
(exhaustive questionnaire) by trained staff	*
b) Non-validated measurement tool, but the tool is available or described	
c) No description of the measurement tool	
Characterization of the exposure	
a) The study considers frequency and/or intensity of the exposure	*
b) The study does not consider frequency and/or intensity of the exposure	
SECTION 3: OUTCOME (max. 3***)	
Stratification of the prevalence	
a) The study stratifies the results by gender, age groups and settings (or at least by two of	
them)	**
a) The study stratifies the results by gender or age groups or settings	*
c) They study does not stratify the results by age groups and settings	
Statistical test	
a) The statistical test used to analyze the data is clearly described and appropriate	*
b) The statistical test is not appropriate, not described or incomplete.	
Assessment of potential biases/limitations	
a) The study assesses the possibility of biases and limitations arising from the design and	
selection of the sample	*
b) The study does not assess the possibility of biases and limitations arising from the	
design and selection of the sample	

Table 3. Quality of the 13 studies included in the systematic review (Modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale)

High	5	*	*	*	*			*	Henderson et al (2021)
Moderate	4	*	*			*		*	Madureira et al (2020)
Moderate	4	*	*	*				*	Alves et al (2020)
Moderate	4	*	*			*		*	Precioso et al (2019)
High	6	*	*	*	*	*		*	Precioso et al (2019)
Moderate	4	*	*	*				*	Mlinaric et al (2019)
Moderate	4	*				*	*	*	Vitória et al (2017)
Moderate	6	*	*		*	*	*	*	Vitória et al (2015)
High	5		*	*	*	*		*	Lupsa et al (2011)
High	6	*	*	*	*	*		*	Paradela et al (2013)
Moderate	4	*	*	*				*	Pereira et al (2013)
High	5	*	*			*	*	*	Constant et al (2011)
Low	2					*		*	Areias et al (2009)
Quality	Total score	Biases/ limitations	Statistical test	Data stratification	Characterizati on of the exposure	Exposure ascertainment	Respondents/ non- respondents	Sample representativenes s	Author, (Publication year)