July 27, 2017

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your kind interest in our study and for the review of the manuscript. We revised the manuscript based on the very helpful suggestions and comments that we had received. We hope that in the new format of the manuscript, we were able to comply with these comments and guidance. Answers to comments include our responses to the provided comments and suggestions and the changes made in the revised version of the manuscript in detail. Please find the revised version of the manuscript entitled ‘***Clinical Guidelines and Implementation Into Daily Dental Practice’*** and answers to comments for the reviewers.

We appreciate for the guidance and assistance that we are provided with. On behalf of all authors, I thank you very much for your kind support, help and interest. May I request from you to kindly inform me if I can be of any assistance during further process with the manuscript.

Sincerely,

**Güliz N. GÜNCÜ, DDS, PhD**

***Reviewer A recommendation 1***:

In each of the 6 countries were the clinical dental guidelines
different or is it common for all EU countries?

***Reply:*** Thank you very much for your kind time and interest in our study. The CDGs were different in each country and were not the standard EU CDGs. To clarify this point, a new sentence is added to the ‘Materials and Methods’ section.  (Page 6, Lines 88,89) ' The CDGs, which were subject to the questionaire, were the valid CDGs in each country in the year (2015) when the survey was undertaken '.

***Reviewer A recommendation 2:***

Were clinical dental guidelines of the most current year taken
and if yes which is the year? This would really add to the significance of
the research.

***Reply:*** The clinical guidelines were the valid CDGs in each country in the year when the survey was undertaken (2015). This sentence was added to the Materials and Methods’ section, as recommended. (Page 6, Lines 87,88,89)

***Reviewer B***: No changes recommended.