Using Whole Genome Sequencing to Investigate a Mock-Outbreak of Carbapenem-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in Real-Time
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Healthcare associated infections due to carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) are a major concern in Portuguese hospitals. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) can improve infection control, but this practice is not routinely used by hospital clinical laboratories in Portugal. We simulated the investigation of a CRKP outbreak based on WGS, with the aim of determining, in the minimum possible time, genetic relatedness between CRKP clinical and environmental isolates.

Material and Methods: Ten CRKP clinical isolates routinely obtained in the hospital laboratory were used. Forty environmental samples - from sinks and sink drains of ward rooms - were collected. Environmental samples were plated on selective media and presumptive CRKP colonies were isolated. Total DNA was extracted from all putative CRKP isolates and sequenced. Clonal relatedness was determined by multi-locus sequence typing and core genome single nucleotide polymorphism analysis; the presence of carbapenemase genes was evaluated.

Results: Clinical isolates were characterized in 48 hours: eight strains were confirmed as CRKP, of which six were of ST13 and carried blaKPC-3. Environmental samples results were obtained in six days: eight CRKP were isolated from which five were of ST13 and carried blaKPC-3. Clinical and environmental ST13 isolates were highly related: ten (of 11) isolates differed from each other in < 0.001% of 2 172 367 core nucleotides.

Discussion: WGS can be used as a high-resolution effective tool to investigate healthcare associated infections and track routes of dissemination in real-time.

Conclusion: In Portugal, routine use of WGS to improve infection control could thrive through collaborative initiatives between hospitals and research institutes.
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RESUMO

Introdução: As infecções associadas aos cuidados de saúde por Klebsiella pneumoniae resistente aos carbapenemos (CRKP) são uma preocupação nos hospitais portugueses. A sequenciação total do genoma [whole genome sequencing (WGS)] pode ajudar no controlo de infecção, mas esta prática não é comumente utilizada nos laboratórios clínicos hospitalares em Portugal. O objetivo deste estudo foi simular a investigação de um surto causado por CRKP, utilizando WGS. Pretendia-se testar a utilização desta técnica e determinar, no menor tempo possível, relações genéticas entre estirpes.

Material e Métodos: Foram analisados dez isolados clínicos de CRKP. Foram obtidas quarenta amostras ambientais que foram inoculadas em meio seletivo para isolamento de colónias sugestivas de CRKP e depois sequenciado o DNA total dos isolados presumitivamente identificados como CRKP. A relação clonal entre as estirpes foi determinada por multi-locus sequence typing e análise de single nucleotide polymorphisms no genoma core. Foi determinada a presença de genes de carbapenemases.

Resultados: Os isolados clínicos foram caracterizados em 48 horas: oito isolados foram confirmados como CRKP. A maioria pertencia ao ST13 (n = 6) e possuía o gene blaKPC-3. As amostras ambientais foram caracterizadas em seis dias: foram isoladas oito CRKP, das quais cinco eram ST13 e continham o gene blaKPC-3. Os isolados ST13 clínicos e ambientais eram muito semelhantes entre si: dez dos 11 isolados diferiam entre si em menos de 0,001% dos 2 172 367 nucleotídeos core analisados.

Discussão: A sequenciação total do genoma pode ser usada como uma ferramenta útil para investigar infecções nosocomiais e rastrear cadeias de disseminação em tempo real.

Conclusão: Em Portugal, o uso desta técnica em controlo de infecção pode ser implementado através de colaborações entre hospitais e institutos de investigação.

Palavras-chave: Controle de Infecções; Enterobacteriáceas Resistentes a Carbapenémicos/genética; Infecções por Klebsiella; Interação Gene-Ambiente; Klebsiella pneumoniae/genética; Sequenciamento Completo do Genoma
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INTRODUCTION

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* is a Gram-negative *Enterobacteriaceae* and a major cause of healthcare associated infections. Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* (CRKP), in particular, is ranked by the World Health Organization in the Global Priority Pathogens List of Antibiotic-resistant Bacteria as a critical pathogen. Data from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net) documented an increasing trend of infections caused by CRKP in 2014–2018 in seven European countries including Portugal. Of concern, it was estimated that, in 2018, the rates of CRKP infections in Portugal reached 11.7% of all *K. pneumoniae*, exceeding the average prevalence for Europe (7.5%).

Transmission of pathogens within the hospital setting is often associated with patient-to-patient and patient-to-staff-to-patient contacts. In addition, environmental reservoirs can also play an important role. Sinks and sink drains, for example, have been identified as reservoirs of several bacteria, including carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. These findings highlight the importance of screening the hospital environment when investigating the origin and transmission routes of pathogens causing healthcare associated infections.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Clinical strains**

Ten CRKP isolates collected in a hospital clinical laboratory were provided in pure cultures for the simulacrum of the outbreak.

**Environmental samples**

Forty samples were collected from sinks and sink drains of the wards where the CRKP-infected patients were admitted. These were collected using swabs (FloqSwabs, Copan), which were immediately placed into tubes containing 1 mL of trypticase soy broth with 15% glycerol. Tubes were placed on ice and transported to the research laboratory within six hours of sampling. In the research laboratory, 50 μL of each sample were streaked on each side of a chromID® CARBA SMART (BioMérieux), chromogenic selective plate which enables: (i) presumptive identification of *Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Serratia*, and *Citrobacter* (KESC) based on β-glucosidase production and (ii) the selective growth of carbapenemase producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE), including *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) and metallo-carbapenemase producers (on the CARB medium side), or OXA-48-type producers (on the OXA medium side). Plates were incubated in aerobic conditions for 18–24 hours at 35°C. For each plate, up to ten isolated colonies suggestive of CRKP were picked and streaked onto the CARB medium side, or OXA medium side. Plates were incubated in aerobic conditions for 18–24 hours at 35°C.

**DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing**

Total DNA was extracted using the MagNA Pure Compact (Roche) automated system. Briefly, for each pure culture, one to three colonies were eluted into 1 mL of PBS solution; 200 μL were transferred into a sample tube containing 200 μL of lysis buffer (Roche) and 0.18 μg of RNase A (Merck) and incubated for 20 min at 37°C. This mix was then used for total bacterial DNA extraction using the MagNA Pure Compact Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Roche), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA quality was assessed through determination of A_{260}/A_{280} and A_{260}/A_{230} ratios using Nanodrop. DNA quantification was performed using the dsDNA High Sensitivity Qubit kit (ThermoFisher), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Isolates were sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina) to an expected coverage of 100-fold using pair-ended (PE) with 150 bp per read.

**Quality control and genome assembly**

Quality control of raw reads and genome assembly was done using INNUca pipeline v3.1 (https://github.com/B-UIMMI/INNUca) under default parameters.

**Taxonomic identification, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), core genome tree construction and detection of carbapenemase genes**

Bacterial species, genotyping through MLST, core genome tree construction, and the presence of carbapenemase genes were determined using Pathogenwatch (https://pathogen.watch). Core-single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were defined based on 1972 genes and 2 172 367 nucleotides, and based on these, a phylogenetic tree was constructed,
and a difference matrix was obtained.

Ethics

The study was conducted in accordance with the European Statements for Good Clinical Practice and the declaration of Helsinki of the World Health Medical Association and is integrated in ONEIDA project that was approved by the board of the participating hospital. All samples were numerically coded at the time of sample collection and processed anonymously thereafter.

RESULTS

For this pilot study, ten clinical isolates identified by the hospital microbiology laboratory as CRKP were received in pure culture and 40 unprocessed environmental samples were received in frozen tubes containing the original swab submerged in liquid medium.

The total DNA of the ten clinical isolates was extracted, whole genome sequenced, and results were analyzed and communicated to the hospital within 48 hours (Fig. 1). This included confirmation of species, evaluation of genetic relatedness among strains, and detection of carbapenem resistance determinants.

The results confirmed that eight clinical isolates were CRKP as indicated by the hospital. Two isolates, however, were *Klebsiella aerogenes*. MLST of the eight CRKP identified three clones: ST13 (n = 6), ST14 (n = 1) and ST111 (n = 1). All isolates of ST13 harbored the **bla**<sub>KPC3</sub> gene. Isolates of ST14 and ST111 harbored the **bla</sub>GDA181** gene (Table 1).

Environmental samples took longer to process as culture and isolation of presumptive CRKP had first to be done. This took four extra days compared to the clinical isolates. In total, sample collection, culture isolation, DNA extraction, sequencing, analysis and communication of results took six days (Fig. 1).

Among the 40 environmental samples, nineteen (three from sinks and 16 from sink drains) yielded colonies compatible with KESC in the selective media. Pure cultures of the 19 colonies were grown, DNA was extracted and whole genome sequencing was carried out (Fig. 1). The nucleotide raw reads of one isolate did not pass quality control (OND468) and were not analyzed. Among the remaining eighteen – and isolates, eight were confirmed as CRKP and ten belonged to other species: *Enterobacter kobei* (n = 5), *Aeromonas hydrophila* (n = 1), *Citrobacter freundii*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* (n = 1), *Raoultella ornithinolytica* (n = 1) and *Serratia marcescens* (n = 1). The medium coverage obtained after assembly of the eighteen isolates was 54-fold.

Six of the eight of CRKP environmental isolates were recovered from sink drains. MLST identified three clones: ST13 (n = 5), ST323 (n = 2) and ST147 (n = 1). All environmental CRKP isolates harbored the **bla**<sub>KPC3</sub> gene. The ST147 isolate also harbored the **bla</sub>GDA5** gene (Table 2).

Core genome SNP clustering of all CRKP isolates

A core genome distance-based neighbor-joining tree based on core SNP data was constructed for all CRKP isolates – eight clinical and eight environmental – and the results are summarized in Fig. 2. This analysis was particularly important to evaluate whether isolates sharing the same sequence type were closely related (*i.e.*, differed in a very small number of core nucleotides) and thus cross-transmission might have occurred very recently in time. Alternatively, isolates sharing the same sequence type but differing in several core nucleotides might reflect dissemination of an endemic clone.

Comparison of the 11 isolates (six clinical, five environmental) of ST13, revealed that ten differed from each other in 0-19 nucleotides and, of these, eight had less than six nucleotide differences between them. Notably, isolates OND458 (clinical) and OND498 (environmental) had no nucleotide differences between them. On the other hand, one isolate (OND490) differed in 124 nucleotides or more from all the other ST13 isolates (Appendix 1: https://www.actamedicaportuguesa.com/revista/index.php/amp/article/view/15174/Appendix_01.pdf).

DISCUSSION

We simulated the investigation of a CRKP outbreak in a Portuguese hospital, using approaches based on WGS. Our specific goals were to determine, in the minimum possible time, (i) whether environmental samples contained CRKP and (ii) the genetic relatedness between CRKP clinical and environmental isolates.

The results regarding similarity of clinical isolates were communicated to the hospital within 48 hours. The results regarding the presence of CRKP in environmental samples and its similarity to other CRKP were obtained within six days. Both results were thus obtained within a reasonable time needed for an infection control team to understand how to best tackle a sudden increase of healthcare associated infections due to CRKP.

This study has a major limitation. Only one colony per environmental sample was studied, preventing eventual identification of additional CRKP from these type of samples.

On the other hand, our results support the use of WGS as a high-resolution effective tool to investigate healthcare associated infections and track routes of dissemination in real-time. We advocate that, in Portugal, routine use of such approaches could thrive through collaborative initiatives between hospitals and research institutes. Although the costs
associated with WGS of bacterial pathogens are considered high to be used on a routine basis in Portugal for hospital surveillance, they allow for rapid decision-making and implementation of targeted infection control measures. Such actions are crucial to prevent hospital-acquired infections and offset the health and economic costs associated with it. To increase immediate cost-effectiveness, in future studies, the use of matrix assisted laser desorption ionization - time of flight, (MAL-DITOF) which is available in several hospital laboratories), should be considered for the confirmation of species assignment before DNA extraction and WGS.

This pilot study demonstrated the ability to investigate an outbreak in real time through WGS. The results further indicate that concomitant environmental sampling is informative to determine transmission routes, allowing for rapid decision-making. Collaborative partnerships between hospitals and research institutes should be fostered to accelerate introduction of WGS as an effective tool to support infection control teams.

CONCLUSION

This pilot study highlights the importance of collaborative partnerships between hospitals and research institutes to accelerate and/or introduce effective tools to support healthcare workers in infection control.
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Figure 2 – Core genome distance-based neighbor-joining tree based on core-SNP data of all carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated
Blue, clinical isolates; green, environmental isolates; ST: sequence type; SNP: core-single nucleotide polymorphism

Table 1 – Characteristics of clinical isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>WGS results</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>Carbapenemase genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OND451</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND452</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;OXA-181&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND453</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella aerogenes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND454</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;OXA-181&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND455</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND456</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND457</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND458</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND459</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella aerogenes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND460</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ST: sequence type
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Isolation site</th>
<th>Colonies suggestive of CRKP?</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>Carbapenemase genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OND462</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella oxytoca</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND463</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter kobei</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND466</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND467</td>
<td>sink</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter kobei</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND470</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter kobei</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND472</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Aeromonas hydrophila</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND474</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Raoultella ornithinolytica</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND482</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>147</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;; <em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;GES-5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND484</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter kobei</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND486</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Serratia marcescens</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND488</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Citrobacter freundii</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND490</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND493</td>
<td>sink</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>323</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND494</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>323</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND495</td>
<td>sink</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND496</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter kobei</em></td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND498</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND500</td>
<td>sink drain</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>bla</em>&lt;sub&gt;KPC-3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ST: sequence type; CRKP: carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; nd: not determined