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One year after the first reported case of COVID-19 in Portugal, on the 2nd of March 2020, we are acquiring knowledge at an unprecedented pace on this new infectious disease caused by the newly emerged SARS-CoV-2 virus. We are just at the tip of the iceberg, but the breakthroughs have been substantial. Notably, a continuous sequencing characterization of the viral genome is allowing a timely monitoring of new variants, informing public health actions.1 Machine learning methods and large clinical trials are being conducted for drug repurposing, leading to the identification of widely available treatment drugs, such as tocilizumab and sarilumab.2 Society's hopes are now centred on the first anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccines being administered in several countries, after less than one year of development.3 Complex diseases, such as infectious diseases, result from the interplay between environmental and several human genetic factors. The heritability statistic represents how much of the variation of a trait can be attributed to variation of genetic factors, varying from 0 (no genetic contribution) to 1 (total genetic contribution). International and local scientific efforts are also being dedicated towards improving our understanding about the heritability of COVID-19, and this editorial will focus on these results.

With the transition to the 21st century, technological developments on genomics have improved exponentially. It is now faster, cheaper, and easier to collect big data. The catalogue of human genome variants on several worldwide populations indicates the following mean number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) per human genome in comparison to the human reference genome: 4.31 million in sub-Saharan Africans, 3.53 million in Europeans, and 3.55 million in East Asians.4 A substantial proportion of these variants are common (> 5% frequency in populations), and they are being used in the design of arrays, which can contain thousands or millions of these variants interspersed regularly throughout the genome. This technology is revolutionising gene mapping on complex diseases5: the millions of variants ensure that several variants (tag variants; usually 15 - 20 in non-African populations, and about eight in sub-Saharan African populations) will be linked with an unknown causal/protective variant when comparing two cohorts, e.g. case versus control. These studies are known as genome-wide association studies, abbreviated as GWAS. So instead of testing individually a few usual culprits (such as a few dozen of variants in human leucocyte antigen (HLA), and other immune genes), we now have the means to perform unbiased genome-wide tests. Because the individual contribution of each variant/gene to the complex phenotype is small, the statistical significance, after correcting for the multiple testing of a million of variants, must pass the 10^{-4} p-value threshold. This implies that a study only reaches statistical power through the inclusion of thousands of case and control samples. This factor has somehow limited the widespread GWAS application, and currently around 78% of individuals included in GWAS studies are from European ancestry.6

The COVID-19 pandemic was an opportunity for the human genetics community to join cross-country efforts and perform well designed GWAS, leading to the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative7; (https://www.covid19hg.org/). The initiative took advantage of retrospective collections, mostly national biobanks with high-throughput genetic characterization of samples and links to healthcare systems (that enabled the collection of clinical information relevant for COVID-19), such as the Finnish, UK and Icelandic biobanks. But the initiative also includes prospective collections, which obtain direct consenting and sampling from incoming COVID-19 patients. The contributing scientific groups have priority in publishing their results, but they make their data readily available to the initiative group, which regularly performs meta-analyses and makes results publicly available in their website. Most of the individuals included so far in the studies are from North Eurasian ancestry.

The first published COVID-19 GWAS8 included 1610
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patients with severe disease (respiratory failure) from Italian and Spanish hospitals (the epicentres during the first wave) and 2205 controls, analysed for over eight million SNPs. A 3p21.31 localized gene cluster and the ABO group system were identified as being significantly associated with COVID-19 respiratory failure. For the first gene cluster, it is currently impossible to disentangle which of the SLC6A20, LZTFL1, CCR9, FYCO1, CXC9R6 and XCR1 genes leads the association, but interestingly, the risk allele GA of rs11385942 is associated with reduced expression of CXC9R6 (which regulates the specific location of lung resident memory CD8 T cells in the immune response to airway pathogens) and increased expression of SLC6A20 (which functionally interacts with angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, the SARS-CoV-2 cell-surface receptor). Blood group A showed a higher risk (odds ratio, OR = 1.45; 95% CI, 1.20 to 1.75) and group O a protective effect (OR = 0.65; 95% CI, 0.53 to 0.79) when compared with other blood groups. The biological mechanisms supporting the ABO group association are not yet clarified.

Paíro-Castineira et al. studied critically ill COVID-19 patients from UK intensive care units and identified associations with key host antiviral defence mechanisms and with mediators of inflammatory organ damage: gene cluster encoding antiviral restriction enzyme activators (OAS1, OAS2, OAS3) on chromosome 12; regions near the gene encoding tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) and within the gene encoding dipeptidyl peptidase 9 (DPP9) on chromosome 19; and in the interferon receptor gene IFNAR2 on chromosome 21. Promisingly, both mechanisms can be targeted with existing drugs. Another important result from this work was an initial estimation of the heritability for severe COVID-19 to be 0.065. This value is probably an underestimate, but that may increase with the inclusion of rare variants and larger numbers of cases in future analyses. As a curiosity, the gene cluster encompassing the OAS1, OAS2, OAS3 genes, previously associated with other infectious diseases, is known to have the incorporation of genetic material (introgression) from Neanderthal diversity into the European and East Asian genomes. One Neanderthal introgressed haplotype seems to confer risk in humans to severe COVID-19, while another seems to be protective against severe COVID-19.

Horowitz et al. performed a meta-analysis aggregating four studies and four ancestries (Admixed American, African, European and South Asian), including a total of 11,356 individuals with COVID-19 (19% were hospitalized and 7% had severe disease) and 651,047 individuals with no record of SARS-CoV-2 infection. They identified seven common genetic variants (three novel) that modulate COVID-19 susceptibility and severity, implicating IFNAR2, CCHCR1, TCF19, SLC6A20 and the hyaluronan pathway as potential therapeutic targets. This work also demonstrated how GWAS information can help identify individuals at high risk of severe disease, who may be prioritized for prophylactic or therapeutic interventions. The authors created a weighted genetic risk score for four variants (near regions LZTFL1, MHC, DPP9 and IFNAR2), and compared the risk of hospitalization and severe disease between those with a high score and all other cases, adjusting for known risk factors (e.g., age, sex, comorbidities). They found that having a high score (top 10%) was associated with a 2.0-fold increased risk of hospitalization and 1.8-fold increased risk of severe disease, and these values were consistent between ancestries. Moreover, the genetic burden for severe disease was higher among individuals with fewer known risk factors: OR = 3.26 (95% CI, 2.03 to 5.23) with one risk factor; OR = 2.19 (95% CI, 1.29 to 3.72) with two; and OR = 1.26 (95% CI, 0.75 to 2.11) with three or more. These results are important as many of these individuals would not be prioritized for prophylactic or therapeutic interventions according to current guidelines.

We recently took advantage of the available data from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative to calculate a polygenic risk score (PRS) based on significant odds ratios for two phenotypes: severe and hospitalised. We then applied this formula to 198 Portuguese individuals from a population cohort, whom had been characterized by an array containing one million variants. The risk scores for the two-phenotypes followed normal distributions, indicating that the proportion of Portuguese individuals genetically at risk for severe disease or hospitalization is quite low. We then used these results to evaluate between causal hypotheses for the presentation of a severe (six days in intensive care unit) and prolonged (97 days) COVID-19 case in a young (17 years old) patient, with no known risk factors. This young patient had risk scores within the mean values of the Portuguese population, a fact that led us to hypothesize that her severe and prolonged disease may have been due to an early co-infection by two SARS-CoV-2 variants, affiliated in two distinct clades (well defined and genetically distinct variants that have attained significant frequency and geographic spread) diverging by six mutations.

The identified associated variants still need to be functionally tested. The authors are using public transcriptomic databases to perform in silico (experiments employing computational approaches) of the associated variants to assess their possible role in controlling the expression of nearby genes. This preliminary evaluation reduces the number of candidates to be tested significantly. It is still urgent to perform large GWAS in non-European (and especially, non-Northern European) populations as trans-ethnic analyses are empowered to identify other significantly associated variants. But it is already clear that GWAS results are already contributing to generate hypotheses for drug repurposing, by revealing the biology of the SARS-CoV-2 infection and should be implemented in guidelines for therapeutic interventions. In the near future, when healthcare systems are not so overwhelmed, GWAS-based predictive tools can help physicians identify unusually high or low risk individuals, and better manage COVID-19 outbreaks.
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